IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

BECKLEY DIVISION

MARVIN STEVE MILLS,

v.

Plaintiff.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:03-cv-02401

LIEUTENANT JANE DOE GREEN, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

By Standing Order entered on December 1, 2003, this action was referred to United States Magistrate Judge R. Clarke VanDervort for submission of proposed findings and a recommendation ["PF&R"]. Magistrate Judge VanDervort filed his PF&R on October 5, 2006 [Docket 29]. In that filing, the magistrate judge recommended that this Court grant Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket 16], and remove this matter from the Court's docket.

The Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and the Plaintiff's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Snyder v. Ridenour*, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir.1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge VanDervort's PF&R were due by October 23, 2006, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). No objections have been filed.

Having reviewed the Proposed Findings and Recommendation filed by Magistrate Judge VanDervort, the Court adopts the recommendations contained therein. Accordingly, the Court hereby **GRANTS** Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket 16], and **DIRECTS** the Clerk to remove this action from the Court's active docket.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a copy of this Order to all counsel of record, Plaintiff, *pro se*, and Magistrate Judge VanDervort.

ENTER:

November 6, 2006

THOMAS E. JOHNSTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE